



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,123	01/29/2004	William A. Margiloff	E03.002/U	4363
28062	7590	10/02/2008	EXAMINER	
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF & TALWALKAR LLC 50 LOCUST AVENUE NEW CANAAN, CT 06840				AIRAPETIAN, MILA
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,123	MARGILOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MILA AIRAPETIAN	3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/05/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 11 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skillen et al. (US 6,098,065) in view of Blaser et al. (US 2007/0022010).

Claim 1. Skillen et al. (Skillen) teaches a computer-implemented method for providing advertisements to the users, comprising:

determining advertising information based on (i) contextual information associated with remote information being accessed by a user (col. 1, lines 39-49; col. 2, lines 35-39), and (ii) supplemental information associated with the user (col. 3; lines 13-19); and

providing for the determined advertising information to the user to be provided to the user (col. 1, lines 39-49).

However, Skillen does not teach that said determining advertising information includes locally determining at a user device.

Blaser et al. (Blaser) teaches targeting of advertisements to users wherein the local device parses the accessed URL and search for invalid words, if the activity is not invalid, then the local device obtains from the targeted activity list the advertisement play list associated with the matched activity identifier; the local device then causes the advertisement play list to be played [0141].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen to include determining advertising information locally, as disclosed in Blaser, because it would advantageously enable the measurement of completion of that content being displayed on a user's computer screen.

Claim 2. Skillen teaches said method wherein the supplemental information is associated with at least one of: (i) geographic information, (ii) user device information, and (iii) other advertising information that has been provided to the user (col. 3, lines 13-19).

Claim 5. Skillen teaches said method wherein the contextual information comprises a key word (col. 4, lines 12-13).

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected on the same rationale as set forth above in Claims 1 and 2.

Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skillen in view of Blaser, and further in view of Werkhoven (US 2005/0096983).

Claim 6. Skillen teaches an apparatus for providing advertisements to the users, comprising:

a processor (Fig. 2); and

a storage device in communication with said processor and storing instructions adapted to be executed by the processor (Fig. 2) to:

determine contextual information associated with remote information being accessed by a user (col. 1, lines 39-49; col. 2, lines 35-39);

determine advertising information based on (i) the determined contextual information (col. 1, lines 39-49; col. 2, lines 35-39), and (ii) supplemental information associated with the user (col. 3, lines 13-19); and

provide the determined advertising information to the user (col. 1, lines 39-49).

However, Skillen does not teach that said processor is configured to determine advertising information includes a processor to locally determine at a user device.

Blaser et al. (Blaser) teaches targeting of advertisements to users wherein the local device parses the accessed URL and search for invalid words, if the activity is not invalid, then the local device obtains from the targeted activity list the advertisement play list associated with the matched activity identifier; the local device then causes the advertisement play list to be played [0141].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen to include teach that said processor is configured to determine advertising information includes a processor to locally determine at a user device, as disclosed in Blaser, because it would advantageously allow to enable the measurement of completion of that content being displayed on a user's computer screen.

The combination of Skillen and Blaser does not teach that said processor is configured to locally determine a dynamically adjusted screen display position, wherein the screen display comprises a two dimensional area having an x-axis and a y-axis and said dynamically adjusted screen display position is adjusted along at least one of the x or y axis.

Werkhoven teaches an internet advertising system wherein the popup window will automatically return to the rearmost position until the new portion of content is ready

to be displayed in the popup window, after which the popup window will automatically return to the frontmost position and display the new portion of content [0047], [0048].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen and Blaser to include said processor is configured to locally determine a dynamically adjusted screen display position, as disclosed in Werkhoven, because it would advantageously ensure the advertising is effective in placing the message before the viewer, as specifically taught by Werkhoven [0004].

As per “screen display comprising a two dimensional area having an x-axis and a y-axis”, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known that advertisements/banners on the screen could be moved/displayed to any position (represented as a pair of x and y values--the *coordinates* of that position) on the screen. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen, Blaser and Werkhoven to include that the screen display comprises a two dimensional area having an x-axis and a y-axis and said dynamically adjusted screen display position is adjusted along at least one of the x or y axis, because it would advantageously allow not to overlay other displayed data.

Claim 7. Skillen teaches said system wherein the supplemental information is associated with at least one of: (i) geographic information, (ii) user device information, or (iii) other advertising information that has been provided to the user (col. 3, lines 13-19).

Claim 9. Werkhoven further teaches said system, wherein said providing comprises displaying a graphical advertisement to the user at the dynamically adjusted screen display position [0047], [0048]. The motivation to combine Skillen, Blaser and Werkhoven would be to ensure the advertising is effective in placing the message before the viewer, as specifically taught by Werkhoven [0004].

Claim 10. Skillen teaches said system wherein the contextual information comprises at least one of: (i) a key word, (ii) a search term, or (iii) uniform resource locator information (col. 4, lines 12-13).

Claims 3, 4, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Skillen and Blaser, in view of Marsh et al. (US 6,876,974).

Claim 3. The combination of Skillen and Rakavy teaches all the limitations of claim 3 including providing the advertising information to the user device via a communication network (col. 3, lines 52-55).

However, the Skillen and Rakavy do not teach that said advertising information is provided to the user when the user device is not communicating via the communication network.

Marsh et al. (Marsh) teaches a computer-implemented method for providing advertisements to the users wherein advertisements are presented to users during periods of off-line activity (col. 7, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Skillen and Rakavy to include that advertising information is provided to the user when the user device is not communicating via the communication network, as disclosed in Marsh, because it would advantageously allow to avoid the downloaded during the on-line access advertisements become "stale", thereby avoiding the risk of users being numbed or otherwise negatively affected by their advertising as a result of overexposure, as specifically taught by Marsh (col. 2, lines 52-60).

Claim 4. The combination of Skillen and Rakavy teaches all the limitations of claim 4 except that said arranging comprises displaying a graphical advertisement to the user.

Marsh teaches said method wherein graphical advertisements are displayed to the user (col. 6, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skillen and Rakavy to include that said advertisements include graphical advertisements, as disclosed in Marsh, because it would advantageously allow recognize said advertisements instantaneously, thereby increasing efficiency of advertising.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected on the same rationale as set forth above in Claims 3 and 4.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Skillen, Blaser, and Werkhoven, as applied to claim 6, in view of Marsh.

Claim 8. See reasoning applied to claim 3.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/05/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that none of the references, taken alone or in combination, disclose or suggest locally determining advertising information based on contextual information and supplemental information associated with a user, Examiner points out that Skillen discloses determining advertising information based on (i) contextual information associated with remote information being accessed by a user (col. 1, lines 39-49; col. 2, lines 35-39), and (ii) supplemental information associated with the user (col. 3; lines 13-19). As per "locally determining at a user device", Blaser was applied for that feature. Specifically, Blaser discloses targeting of advertisements to users wherein the local device parses the accessed URL and search for invalid words, if the activity is not invalid, then the local device obtains from the targeted activity list the advertisement play list associated with the matched activity identifier; the local device then causes the advertisement play list to be played [0141].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MILA AIRAPETIAN whose telephone number is (571)272-3202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mila Airapetian/
Examiner, Art Unit 3625